

Reviewer Recommendation and Comments for Manuscript Number COGENTEDU-2023-0401

Planning Strategy for School Culture Through Extracurriculars in Forming the Disciplinary Character of State Vocational High School Students of Sangatta Indonesia

Original Submission
Herman Herman, Ph.D. **Reviewer 2**

[Back](#) [Edit Review](#) [Print](#) [Submit Review to Editorial Office](#)

Recommendation: Sound with minor or moderate revisions

Custom Review Question(s):

As a thank you and to acknowledge the contribution of our reviewers, the journal may publish a list of the names of those who have reviewed at the end of the year. This will not be linked to any specific paper and will only be done if the list of reviewers is long enough to protect the anonymity of the review process for individual papers. If you would prefer for your name **not to be included** in a published list of reviewers, please indicate this below.

If anyone else was involved in writing this report, for example a student or a colleague, and they agree to be recognised for this work please provide their name and email address in the free text box below.

Do you want to get recognition for this review on [Publons](#)?

Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use [Publons](#) to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you opt in, your [Publons](#) profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a [Publons](#) profile, you will be prompted to create a free account. [[Learn more](#)]

I confirm that I have the necessary subject knowledge and expertise to review this article, and have no conflict of interest that would prevent me from offering an unbiased review.

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Title, Abstract and Introduction – overall evaluation

Methodology / Materials and Methods – overall evaluation

Objective / Hypothesis – overall evaluation

Figures and Tables – overall evaluation

Results / Data Analysis – overall evaluation

Interpretation / Discussion – overall evaluation

Conclusions – overall evaluation

References – overall evaluation

Compliance with Ethical Standards – overall evaluation

Writing – overall evaluation

Supplemental Information and Data – overall evaluation

Comments to the author

Response

Include my name

Yes

Yes

Yes

Sound with minor or moderate revisions

Sound with minor or moderate revisions

Sound

Sound

Sound

Sound with minor or moderate revisions

Sound

Sound

Sound

Sound

Sound

Some comments:

1. Structure of abstract needs improvement
2. Introduction is too short and unclear. You need to present clearly the phenomena or problems
3. Discussion needs to present the results of your work with other researches
4. References are already strong but needs a little revisions to the citations for last 5 years

Reviewer Confidential Comments to Editor:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your trust on me in reviewing the paper in your journal

There are some comments for the paper such as:

1. Structure of abstract needs improvement
2. Introduction is too short and unclear. You need to present clearly the phenomena or problems
3. Discussion needs to present the results of your work with other researches
4. References are already strong but needs a little revisions to the citations for last 5 years

The paper is possible to be accepted if authors do the revision carefully

Thank you

Regards,
Herman

[Back](#)

[Edit Review](#)

[Print](#)

[Submit Review to Editorial Office](#)