

# Review Form

**Article Title:** Effects of Interaction in Online Language Classroom: A Case Study of Najran University

**Reviewer's Name:** Herman

(The reviewers' identities remain anonymous to author/s)

<b>❖ Recommendation to Editor</b> (Please mark “x” for appropriate option)
<input type="radio"/> Excellent, accept the submission (5) <input type="radio"/> Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4) <input type="radio"/> Acceptable, revisions required (3) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2) <input type="radio"/> Decline the submission (1)

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

<b>❖ Evaluation</b> (Please evaluate the manuscript by grade 1-5)	
5=Excellent    4=Good    3=Average    2=Below Average    1=Poor	
<b>Items</b>	<b>Grade</b>
Contribution to existing knowledge	3
Organization and readability	3
Soundness of methodology	2
Evidence supports conclusion	2
Adequacy of literature review	2
<b>❖ Strengths</b> The research contributes to education	
<b>❖ Weaknesses</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Author didn't use the template of the journal</li> <li>2. Objectives and problems are not same (different)</li> <li>3. There are no data collection and data analysis methods</li> <li>4. Results and discussions should be split up. Hence, there is no discussion mentioned in the paper</li> <li>5. Some of the references are out of dated. It should be citations from 2017-2022 (recommended)</li> </ol>	

❖ **Suggestions to Author/s**

The paper needs to be resubmit for review

Please return the form to the journal editor/editorial assistant.

## Appendix

### **Ethical Guidelines for Reviewers**

- Respect confidentiality.
- Respect copyright protection of submissions by not using in their own research or work any unpublished data, information, interpretation, or discussion from a submitted article.
- Maintain objectivity in reviewing submissions and avoid personal criticism of authors.
- Be aware of potential conflicts of interest (financial, institutional, collaborative, or other relationships between the reviewer and author) and be willing to alert the editor to these, even if it means withdrawing themselves from reviewing a manuscript.
- Be vigilant for plagiarized material and/or falsified and/or manipulated data and be willing to alert the editor if this is suspected in a manuscript.